

Southampton to London Pipeline Project

Deadline 5

Draft SoCG with Hampshire County Council
Application Document: 8.4.23

Planning Inspectorate Reference Number: EN070005

Revision No. 2.0

February 2020





Southampton to London Pipeline Project

Statement of Common Ground Between: Esso Petroleum Company, Limited and Hampshire County Council

Date: February 2020

Application Document Reference: B2325300-JAC-000-COE-REP-000278



Signed	
Printed Name	Tim Sunderland
Position	SLP Project Executive
On behalf of	Esso Petroleum Company, Limited
Date	

Signed	
Printed Name	Chris Murray
Position	Head of Strategic Planning
On behalf of	Hampshire County Council
Date	



Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1	Purpose of Document	2
1.2	Description of the Project	2
1.3	This Statement of Common Ground	2
1.4	Structure of the Statement of Common Ground	3
2.	Record of Engagement Undertaken to Date	4
2.1	Pre-application Engagement and Consultation	4
2.2	Engagement Following Submission of Application	9
3.	Matters Agreed	11
4.	Matters Not Agreed	15
5.	Matters Subject to On-going Discussion	16
6.	Relevant documents and drawings	17
6.1	List of relevant documents and drawings	17
Appendix A		18
Appendix B.		42
Appendix C		43

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of Document

- 1.1.1 A Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) is a written statement produced as part of the Application process for a Development Consent Order (DCO) and is prepared jointly between the applicant for a DCO and another party. It sets out matters of agreement between both parties, as well as matters where there is not an agreement. It also details matters that are under discussion.
- 1.1.2 The aim of a SoCG is to help the Examining Authority manage the Examination Phase of a DCO application. Understanding the status of the matters at hand will allow the Examining Authority to focus their questioning and provide greater predictability for all participants in examination. A SoCG may be submitted prior to the start of or during Examination, and then updated as necessary or as requested during the Examination Phase.

1.2 Description of the Project

- 1.2.1 Esso Petroleum Company, Limited (Esso) launched its Southampton to London Pipeline Project in December 2017. The project proposes to replace 90km of its 105km aviation fuel pipeline that runs from the Fawley Refinery near Southampton, to the West London Terminal storage facility in Hounslow. In spring 2018, Esso held a non-statutory consultation which helped it to select the preferred corridor for the replacement pipeline. In autumn 2018, it held a statutory consultation on the preferred route for the replacement pipeline. In early 2019, it held a second phase of statutory consultation on design refinements. The application for Development Consent was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate on 14th May 2019.

1.3 This Statement of Common Ground

- 1.3.1 This SoCG has been prepared jointly by Esso as the applicant and Hampshire County Council (Hampshire CC) as a prescribed consultee and Local Authority as defined within the Local Government Act 2000. Hampshire CC has interests in the SLP Project, as a Local Planning Authority, as Highway Authority, a service provider to its businesses and residents, and as a landowner affected by the project.
- 1.3.2 For the purpose of this SoCG, Esso and Hampshire CC will jointly be referred to as the “Parties”. When referencing Hampshire CC alone, they will be referred to as “the Authority”.
- 1.3.3 Throughout this SoCG:
- Where a section begins ‘matters agreed’, this sets out matters that have been agreed between the Parties.

- Where a section begins 'matters not agreed', this sets out matters that are not agreed between the Parties.
- Where a section begins 'matters subject to ongoing discussion', this sets out matters that are subject to further negotiation between the Parties.

1.4 Structure of the Statement of Common Ground

1.4.1 This SoCG has been structured to reflect matters and topics of relevance to the Authority in respect of Esso's Southampton to London Pipeline Project.

- Section 2 provides an overview of the engagement to date between the Parties.
- Section 3 provides a summary of areas that have been agreed.
- Section 4 provides a record of areas that have not yet been agreed.
- Section 5 provides a list of ongoing matters (if any) that will be agreed or not agreed by the Parties during examination.
- Section 6 provides a record of relevant documents and drawings

2. Record of Engagement Undertaken to Date

2.1 Pre-application Engagement and Consultation

2.1.1 The table below sets out the consultation and engagement that has been undertaken between the Parties prior to the submission of the DCO application.

Table 2.1 Schedule of pre-application meetings and correspondence

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
04/12/2017	Correspondence	Project introduction	The project sent a letter to planning team at the Authority regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Map of current route • Project timeline • Project introduction
19/01/2018	Hampshire Officers Forum	Project introduction	The Authority's Head of Strategic Planning was not able to attend and asked to be kept informed.
19/01/2018	Hampshire Members Forum	Project introduction	The Authority's elected representatives were not able to attend.
23/02/2018	Hampshire Officers Forum	Project briefing	The Authority's Head of Strategic Planning attended the forum meeting and was briefed on the project and proposed engagement processes.
23/02/2018	Hampshire Members Forum	Project briefing	The Authority's elected representative was not able to attend.
Feb to Nov 2018	Correspondence	Planning and ecological contacts	Liaison over appropriate contacts and availability of environmental records and survey information, including from Hampshire Biodiversity Information Centre.
01/03/2018	Briefing note	Non-statutory (Corridor) consultation	Briefing note sent to all Local Authorities and councillors of wards/elected members within each corridor option.
01/03/2018	Correspondence	Data Request	Information was requested from the Hampshire Historic Environment Record (HER) for undesignated assets, finds and monuments (including monument records, Areas of High Archaeological Potential (AHAPs) and County Sites of Archaeological Importance (CSAIs)).
02/03/2018	Correspondence	Data request	Liaison with the Authority over GIS and other data requested for the project.
08/03/2018	Meeting	Initial Meeting with Hampshire Highways	Project briefing and discussion of Highways issues with Hampshire CC Deputy Director of

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
			Highways, Head of Highways and Head of Highways (Asset Manager).
15/03/2018	Correspondence	Commitment to Community Consultation – early view	Email sent to the Authority containing Commitment to Community Consultation (CtCC), and details of councillors that will be notified ahead of launch.
15/03/2018	Correspondence	Queries on consultation	Request for clarification received from the Authority on non-statutory (Corridor) consultation, requesting copies of maps of the corridors. Information sent in response.
19/03/2018	Correspondence	Non-statutory (Corridor) consultation launch	<p>The project sent the Authority three letters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Notification of launch letter (as a potential future statutory consultee) 2) A notification letter as a landowner, with a Person with an Interest in Land questionnaire and land plans 3) Draft CtCC with a separate cover letter <p>No feedback was provided on the CtCC.</p>
18/04/2018	Meeting	Archaeology	<p>Meeting with Authority's Archaeologist on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corridor consultation; • heritage assessment; • survey strategy; and • mitigation approaches.
19/04/2018	Correspondence	Phone Call	Discussion with Authority's Head of Strategic Planning on progress with consultation response and liaison with other Hampshire Authorities.
24/04/2018	Correspondence	Non-statutory (Corridor) consultation	Authority's Countryside Service's response to the non-statutory (Corridor) consultation. See Appendix B.
02/05/2018	Correspondence	Pre-preferred corridor announcement	<p>The project called the Authority to explain how the preferred corridor would be selected and then how it would be announced to stakeholders. The Parties also discussed next steps following the preferred corridor announcement re: route development and Environmental Impact Assessment scoping. The Authority made suggestions for engagement with members, which were followed up.</p>
15/05/2018	Correspondence	Phone Call	The Authority indicated that agreement had not been reached with all planning authorities on co-operation on the project, and agreed that

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
			co-operation would appropriately be covered at the next Forum event.
25/05/2018	Hampshire Officers Forum	Update	<p>The Authority's Head of Strategic Planning attended the forum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presented the findings of the non-statutory (Corridor) consultation and explained how the preferred corridor had been selected Explained when the preferred corridor would be announced to stakeholders
25/05/2018	Hampshire Members Forum	Update	The Authority's elected representative was not able to attend.
30/05/2018	Correspondence	Preferred corridor announcement	<p>The Authority was sent two letters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter as a key stakeholder regarding the preferred corridor that was selected A landowner letter
07/06/2018	Meeting	Hampshire Highways Meeting	Progress meeting with HCC Highways attended by Streetworks Team to discuss approach to Streetworks along pipeline routing, including proposed schedule of crossings.
27/06/2018	Correspondence	Initial Working Route	Project update regarding Initial Working Route release.
09/07/2018	Consultation	Draft Statement of Community Consultation	The draft Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) was issued for statutory consultation to the Authority. The Authority responded and the points raised in relation to Parish and member engagement were incorporated into the SoCC.
14/08/2018	Meeting	Highways meeting	Progress meeting with Authority Highways and Rights of Way teams, to discuss approach to Streetworks and rights of way along pipeline routing, including discussion of draft highways diversion route drawings.
24/08/2018	Hampshire Officers Forum	Update	The Authority's Planning Officer was not able to attend the forum meeting.
24/08/2018	Hampshire Members Forum	Update	The Authority's elected representative was not able to attend.
30/08/2018	Workshop	EIA Scoping	<p>Invitation was issued on the 17 July 2018 to the main point of contact at the Authority. Several dates were offered. One of the Authority's Environmental officers attended the workshop on 30 August.</p>

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
			The workshop supported the Planning Inspectorate's scoping consultation.
06/09/2018	Correspondence	Launch of first statutory (Preferred Route) consultation	The project sent the Authority two letters: 1) Notification of launch letter (as a statutory consultee) 2) A notification letter as a landowner, with a Person with an Interest in Land questionnaire and land plans. (Both letters were in line with the Planning Act 2008)
05/10/2018	Meeting	Draft DCO provisions	Meeting with the Authority Streetworks team to discuss Hampshire highways approach to protective provisions for DCO projects.
08/11/2018	Meeting	Flood risk	Joint meeting with Lead Local Flood Authorities, including the Authority's Local Lead Flood Authority officer, which discussed: ordinary watercourse crossings and flood risks.
15/11/2018	Meeting	Project Progress Meeting	Progress meeting with the Authority's Streetworks Manager to further discuss approach to Streetworks along pipeline routing, including discussion of highways diversion route drawings and crossings schedule.
17/10/2018	Correspondence	First statutory (Preferred Route) consultation response	The Authority responded to the first statutory (Preferred Route) consultation. A copy is enclosed at Appendix B.
26/11/2018	Correspondence	Potential highways impacts	Email from Authority's Highways team following meeting to raise potential highway impacts from works near route.
03/12/2018	Meeting	Progress meeting	Meeting held with the Authority's Planning Officer (together with Eastleigh and Winchester Councils) on progress with undertaking the EIA and preparing the application, including approach to design refinements.
03/01/2019	Briefing Note	Next steps – second statutory (Design Refinements) consultation	Sent to planning officers and councillors/ members. Provided an overview of the second statutory (Design Refinements) consultation and its contents ahead of the launch on 21 January 2019. The briefing note was accompanied by the offer of a meeting.
18/01/2019	Correspondence	Launch of second statutory (Design Refinements) consultation	The project sent the Authority two letters: 1) Notification of launch letter (as a statutory consultee) 2) A notification letter as a landowner

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
			<p>(Both letters complied with the approach set out the in SoCC)</p> <p>The Authority did not respond to the consultation.</p>
29/01/2019	Meeting	Archaeology	<p>Meeting with Authority's Archaeologist to present and discuss:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project overview; • historical and archaeological baseline along the route; • archaeological potential; • historic environment assessment; • potential mitigation strategy.
06/02/2019	Meeting	Progress meeting	<p>Meeting with Authority Streetworks team to discuss Streetworks and rights of way proposals.</p>
20/02/2019	Correspondence	Draft DCO	<p>A copy of Part 3 (Streetworks) of Draft DCO and Draft Explanatory memorandum issued to Authority Streetworks team for review and comment.</p>
26/02/2019	Correspondence	Public Rights of Way	<p>Authority Rights of Way team approached for information relating to notification requirements for proposed diversions.</p>
13/03/2019	Meeting	Progress meeting	<p>Progress meeting held with Authority's Streetworks team to review draft Part 3 of DCO.</p>
19/03/2019	Meeting and consultee engagement	Flood risks	<p>Joint meeting with Lead Local Flood Authority officers, including Authority's officer, to discuss:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A presentation by the project on the Draft Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) report, including flood risk action plans, watercourse crossings, temporary culverts, fish, and water quality; • Protective provisions. <p>The Draft FRA Report was submitted to officers for informal comment.</p>
25/03/2019	Briefing note	Next steps	<p>The project issued a briefing note to planning officers and councillors/members following the close of the second statutory (Design Refinements) consultation re: next steps.</p>
27/03/2019	Correspondence	Final route release	<p>The project issued a letter to planning officers announcing the final route and offering a meeting if required.</p>

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
02/04/2019	Correspondence	Draft DCO	Project supplied the Authority with a draft of the DCO and asked for comments.
25/04/2019	Correspondence	Next steps	The project contacted the Authority to provide early warning of its submission for development consent.
25/04/2019	Meeting	Progress meeting	Meeting with Authority Streetworks team and local highways officers ahead of DCO application submission, Review of application content relating to highways and streetworks.
10/05/2019	Correspondence	Flood risks	Authority's Flood & Water Management Senior Project Officer emailed project to give feedback on the Draft FRA Report, including in relation to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fluvial and surface water flood risks; • construction and operation phases; • mitigation measures.
14/05/2019	Meeting	Progress Meeting	Progress meeting held with the Authority Planning Officers (and Winchester City Council) to discuss progress with the application, the draft DCO and the forthcoming application documentation.

2.2 Engagement Following Submission of Application

2.2.1 The table below sets out the consultation and engagement that has been undertaken between the Parties since the submission of the DCO application.

Table 2.2 Schedule of post submission meetings and correspondence

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
16/05/2019	Correspondence	Application submitted	The project confirmed that the application for Development Consent was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate and a USB containing the application was being sent in the post to the Authority's planning team.
06/06/2019	Correspondence	Consulting the project on planning applications	The project requested that the Authority consult it on planning applications where relevant.
10/06/2019	Correspondence	Safeguarding	The project confirmed with the Authority that it had been granted safeguarding and that it would be required to consult the project.
24/05/2019	Correspondence	Adequacy of Consultation	The Authority responded to PINS on the adequacy of consultation and confirmed that the Council considered that Esso had complied with its duty to consult and publicise under the Planning Act 2008.

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
27/06/2019	Meeting	Highways meeting	Meeting with Authority Streetworks team to discuss the submitted DCO Application, focusing on the navigation document and the location of information relevant to highway matters. Explanation of DCO process and role of LPAs.
10/07/2019	Meeting	Archaeology	Discussion on the proposed trial trenching strategy.
14/08/2019	Meeting	Highways Meeting	Progress meeting with Authority Streetworks Team.
30/08/2019	Meeting	Progress meeting	Progress meeting with Authority Planning Officers to discuss submitted DCO application and the content of the draft SoCG.
16/09/2019	Meeting	Basingstoke Canal	Meeting with Authority Officers to discuss land agreement and DCO issues relating to Basingstoke Canal.
11/12/2019	Meeting	Flood risk	Meeting with Local Lead Flood Authority Flood Risk Advisor (Surrey County Council's lead flood advisor also in attendance), covering watercourse crossings, protective provisions, soil storage, compounds, drainage, and flood warnings.
16/12/2019	Meeting	Archaeological trial trench programme	Meeting with the Authority's Archaeologist to discuss and agree trial trenching plans and programme, and the related Written Scheme of Investigation.

3. Matters Agreed

3.1.1 The table below sets out the matters agreed in relation to different topics.

Table 3.1 Matters agreed

Examining Authority's suggested theme	Topic	Matter agreed
	General	<p>The project and the Authority have met at appropriate times since the project launch in December 2017.</p> <p>The Authority is satisfied that the consultation and engagement with its officers, members and residents has been robust and meaningful and in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act 2008.</p>
	General	<p>The Authority is satisfied with the approach of consulting on corridors and then a route.</p>
	General	<p>The Authority is satisfied with the statutory consultation on the pipeline route – both during the Preferred Route Consultation and the Design Refinements Consultation. The project acknowledges the Authority's statutory consultation response.</p> <p>The Authority gave its full opinion and comments regarding the pipeline route in its statutory consultation response.</p>
The Need and Principle of the Proposed Development and Examination of Alternative Routes	General	<p>The Authority has no objection to proposed Order Limits and Limits of Deviation that define the proposed pipeline route, as proposed in the SLP Project's application for development consent.</p> <p>The route starts in Boorley Green in Eastleigh Borough and runs north between Bishops Waltham and Upham, through the South Downs National Park and into Winchester City Council's area. The route passes through the National Trust estate at Hinton Ampner. The route crosses the A272 at Bramdean crosses the A32 and runs along the southern boundary of Chawton House registered park and garden. The route leaves the South Downs National Park at the crossing of the B3006 and up to Alton and enters East Hampshire District. The pipeline route enters the pumping station at Alton and then continues north towards Upper and Lower Froyle and Crondall in Hart District. The route then enters MoD land at Ewshot Lane and runs along Naishes Lane to Quetta Park, around the north of Tweseldown Racecourse, Ewshot and the Bourley and Long Valley SSSI. The route crosses the Basingstoke Canal and the A323, then through the Cody Technology Park and Southwood Country Park in Rushmoor Borough. The route then runs through the Cove Brook open area, in along the railway line to Queen Elizabeth Park and Farnborough Hill School, before crossing the Blackwater Valley into Surrey.</p>

Planning Policy	National Policy Statements (NPSs) Development Plan	<p>The relevant NPSs are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1) • National Policy Statement for Gas Supply Infrastructure and Gas and Oil Pipelines (EN-4) <p>While the assessment of the application for development consent should be made against the NPSs, it is agreed that the Development Plan for Hampshire comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hampshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan 2013-2030; and • individual local planning authority's development plans
Planning Policy	Development Land	The Authority is satisfied that the route of the proposed pipeline does not impact adversely on any strategic allocation identified in emerging or adopted Minerals and Waste local plans.
Biodiversity	Environmental Impact Assessment	<p>The Authority is satisfied that the Environmental Impact Assessment is proportionate to the scale and likely impacts of the project in the county.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The scope and methods of the ecological surveys are appropriate • The mitigation is appropriate • The identification and assessment of effects on biodiversity assets is appropriate
	Environmental Impact Assessment	The Authority has provided comments, via the scoping consultation and statutory consultation, on the Environmental Impact Assessment process and is satisfied that these consultations have led to appropriate changes and that these are reflected in the design, outcomes and mitigation as reported in the Environmental Statement.
Biodiversity	Environmental Impact Assessment	The Authority agrees that, when considering all factors, the selection of the final pipeline route in the county is appropriate in its response to biodiversity receptors within and in the vicinity of the Order Limits.
Methodology for the Environmental Impact Assessment	Environmental Impact Assessment	The Authority agrees that the list of county matter developments and allocations within Hampshire, considered in the cumulative effects assessment and reported in Chapter 15 of the Environmental Statement (enclosed as Appendix A), is satisfactory.
Highways and Transport	General (Highway Authority)	It is agreed all major road (A roads, trunk roads and Motorways) crossings will be trenchless crossings.
Highways and Transport	General (Highway Authority)	The Authority, as Highway Authority, agrees with the wording of the draft Requirement 7 (Construction Traffic Management Plan) as set out in Schedule 2 of the submitted draft DCO. The Authority agrees that it should discharge the CTMP in consultation with the District Councils within the county.

Highways and Transport	Construction (Highway Authority)	The Authority agrees with the schedule of trenchless highway crossings within Hampshire, as set out in the DCO
Highways and Transport	Construction (Highway Authority)	It is agreed that for multiway works, traffic lights should be avoided where practicable, 2-way traffic signals and /or full road closures to be implemented instead. This will be dependent on health and safety considerations and the local road network.
Highways and Transport	Permit Scheme	<p>The Applicant agrees to use the booking system for street works afforded by the Hampshire County Permit Scheme in order to notify the Authority of its intention to carry out works in the area,</p> <p>The Authority agrees that it will consider any activity requests made under Hampshire County Permit Scheme promptly and recognises the interlinked and cumulative nature of the project's street works.</p> <p>The Authority agrees with wording for Article 35 to the DCO regarding the use of the Permit Scheme.</p>
Highways and Transport	Construction (Highway Authority)	It is agreed road reinstatement will be undertaken in accordance with the HAUC 'Specifications for the Reinstatement of Openings and Highways', subject to any agreed local variations.
Highways and Transport	Design (Highway Authority)	Electronic notification transfer notices (forward planning information notices) have been submitted to the Authority as per the route of the replacement pipeline included within the application.
Highways and Transport	Permit Scheme	The Authority, as Highway Authority, will work with the project to review all permits (if used) in a timely manner, as prescribed in the Hampshire County Permit Scheme Council Order, to prevent delays to the construction programme. To aid this process all permits to be submitted by the Applicant's Project Team with project reference in their title for easy recognition.
Highways and transport	Public Rights of Way	The Applicant agrees to use the Hampshire County Permit Scheme for applications relating to temporary closure or diversions of Public Rights of Way.
Highways and transport	Transport Assessment in DCO Application	<p>The Authority considers the following documents are satisfactory for the purposes of assessment of the transport impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transport Assessment and the Environmental Statement Appendix 13.1 Traffic (Application Document APP-135; and • Transport Technical Note (Application Document APP-119).
Highways and transport	Logistics Hubs	The Authority confirmed at Deadline 4 that the Local Highway Authority has reviewed the proposed changes to the application and the revised traffic assessments and has no objection to the proposed logistics hubs or concerns regarding expecting traffic movements.

Draft Development Consent Order	Basingstoke Canal	The Authority is satisfied with the project's approach to crossing the Basingstoke Canal. That the trenchless crossing and methodology does not impact on the integrity or function of the canal.
Flooding and Water	Disapplication of Land Drainage Consents under the DCO	The Authority is satisfied with the approach taken and the mitigation measures presented in the Flood Risk Report. Both parties agree to engage early on a few locations for detailed mitigation measures as set out in Annex C of the Outline CEMP.
Highways and transport	Public Rights of Way	Broad agreement has been reached on the approach to crossings of Public Rights of Way, including the details of crossings and the need for short term temporary closures or diversions during construction.
Highways and transport	Construction	The Parties agree that reinstatement of highway/PRoW's could be undertaken by Hampshire Highways Contractors in several locations.
Historic Environment	Archaeological trial trenching	Both parties have agreed the scope and approach for the programme for trial trenching. The approach and extent of trial trenching is set out in the Archeological Mitigation Strategy. Where necessary a Written Scheme of Investigation will be agreed with the Authority prior to this work being carried out.
Archaeology	Archaeological Mitigation Strategy	The Applicant submitted a revised Archaeological Mitigation Strategy at Deadline 4. The Authority confirms that the content of that revised document is acceptable.
The Draft Development Consent Order	Working hours	The Applicant and the Authority agree to propose amended DCO text to allow for a variation in working hours in the interests of the good management of the highway network.

4. Matters Not Agreed

4.1.1 The table below sets out the matters not agreed in relation to different topics.

Table 4.1 Matters not agreed

Examining Authority's suggested theme	Topic	Matter not agreed

5. Matters Subject to On-going Discussion

5.1.1 The table below sets out the matters subject to ongoing discussion.

Table 5.1 Matters subject to ongoing discussion

Examining Authority's suggested theme	Topic	Matter subject to ongoing discussion
Planning Policy	Minerals Safeguarding	<p>The Authority have requested that any minerals excavated enter the supply chain.</p> <p>The Applicant considers that this is unlikely to be possible as excavation materials will be used to reinstate the land and the trench as backfill unless they are deemed unsuitable for reuse and in which case they will be disposed of appropriately. The volume of the material involved is of a scale where the impacts would not have a materially adverse effect. Any surplus mineral material does not constitute a volume of economically viable mineral therefore would not enter the mineral supply chain.</p>
Flooding and Water	Clarifications sought	Further to discussion between the Parties, the Applicant is continuing to engage with the Authority on some items related to flooding and water.
Highways and transport	General (Highway Authority)	The Authority will review the outline CTMP submitted by the Applicant at Deadline 4.

6. Relevant documents and drawings

6.1 List of relevant documents and drawings

6.1.1 The following is a list of documents and drawings upon which this SoCG is based.

Table 6.1: Schedule of relevant documents

Application Reference	Title	Content	Date
ENV-REP-000075	Transport Assessment Scoping Report	Proposed scope of Transport Assessment	2 nd January 2019
EN070005 Document 6.1	Environmental Statement Non-Technical Summary	Overview of the Environmental Statement	14 May 2019
EN070005 Document 6.2	Environmental Statement	Report of the Environmental Impact Assessment	14 May 2019
EN070005 Document 6.3	Environmental Statement Figures	Illustrative material to support the Environmental Statement	14 May 2019
EN070005 Document 6.4	Environmental Statement Appendices	Additional data and evidence to support the Environmental Statement	14 May 2019
EN070005 Document 7.1	Planning Statement	Assessment of the application against National Policy Statements EN-1 Energy and EN-4 Oil and Gas Pipelines	14 May 2019



Appendix A

Table 7.1 Long List of DCO/Other Developments considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment

ID _1	Name of Development	Description	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Short listed?
A 1	Heathrow Expansion	Adding a northwest runway at Heathrow to increase air-traffic movement, in addition to supporting airfield, terminal and transport infrastructure, works to the M25, local roads and rivers.	Scoping Opinion received in June 2018	Yes	2	<1km to the north	Yes (Application for development consent due in 2019/2020; Construction starts from 2021).	Schedule 1 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
A 2	Western Rail Link to Heathrow	Rail link from Reading Station to Heathrow Terminal 5 by building a new rail tunnel to link the Great Western Mainline to Heathrow Airport.	Scoping Opinion received in June 2015. Application to be submitted in Summer 2019.	Yes	2	3km	Possible (Planned construction 2020–2027)	Schedule 1 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects not anticipated due to the intervening distance between this scheme and the project	No
A 3	Southern Rail Link to Heathrow	Southern rail connection between Chertsey, Virginia Water and Staines with Heathrow Terminal 5.	UK Government is expected to announce the next stage of the process for securing a private sector developer in early 2019. Expected to become operational between 2025-2027.	Yes	3	>500m	No published timetable. However, if operation is due to commence in 2025, construction	Schedule 1 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes



ID _1	Name of Development	Description	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Short listed?
							could overlap with the project construction timescale.			
A 4	Windsor Rail Link	Phase 1 connects the Great Western Rail Line from Slough and Windsor with the Windsor Waterloo line. Phase 2 connects Heathrow to western and southern parts.	Proposals for both phases of the project were submitted to the government on 31 July 2018. It was rejected by the government in December 2018.	Yes	3	This is 1.9 km at its closest point to the project.	No (Proposal rejected December 2018)	Schedule 1 EIA development	Rejected. Scoped out of shortlist	No
A 5	Water infrastructure projects in Hampshire	This consists of a number of sewer improvements, flood protection schemes, upgrades to treatment works and projects to improve the quality of treated wastewater to meet European legislation.	<p>Otterbourne Water Supply Works: To submit planning application in March 2019. Expected to start construction in winter 2019 and end in spring 2020.</p> <p>Portsmouth Flood Alleviation: Complete.</p> <p>Woolston Wastewater Treatment Works: In construction and due for completion in summer 2019.</p> <p>South Hampshire (The Itchen, Candover and Testwood Water Abstraction): Public Inquiry has now concluded and further plans are being drawn up.</p> <p>Portsmouth Wastewater Treatment Works: Construction activities are currently underway</p>	Yes	1	Nearest is Portswood WTW at 7km	Yes, Otterbourne WSW and South Hampshire and Portsmouth WTW could have overlapping construction timescales with the project.	Schedule 1 EIA development	No direct receptor source pathway identified due to distance from the project. Scoped out of shortlist	No



ID _1	Name of Development	Description	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Short listed?
			and due for completion in March 2025.							
A 6	River Thames Scheme	Flood relief channel from Datchet to Teddington Lock	A pre-planning application process was completed in August 2018. Subject to funding, a full planning application may be submitted October 2019.	Yes	2	The scheme intersects the project near Chertsey	Yes (Planned construction 2020–2021)	Schedule 2 development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
A 7	Heathrow Western Hub	Expansion of Heathrow Airport including new and reconfigured hub terminal facilities; supporting airfield and transport infrastructure; works to roads and rivers; temporary construction works; mitigation works and other associated and ancillary development.	A Scoping Report has been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate on February 2019	Yes	2	The scheme is located 2.6 km to the northwest from the northern extent of SLP project	Yes (Assuming that grant of DCO is obtained in late 2021, the scheme is expected to be fully completed by 2030)	Schedule 1 development	No direct receptor source pathway identified due to distance from the project. Scoped out of shortlist.	No



Table 7.2 Long list of Major Applications

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
East Hampshire District Council										
B 1	54229	Oakhanger Water Treatment Works to Alton Pipeline	Screening opinion received	Yes	3	Intersecting with SLP	Not known	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Insufficient information.	No
B 2	56174	Proposed solar farm	Screening opinion received	Yes	3	0 - 500m	Not known	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Insufficient information.	No
B 3	20041/027	New buildings for use within class B1 (light industrial) and incidental class B8 (storage and distribution) with associated car parking and landscaping	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the proposed scheme	No
B 4	20107/061	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 40 dwellings and one apartment with associated parking, garaging and access roads; ▪ Conversion of Burnham Place into 15 dwellings and two apartments; single-storey extensions to Manor House North and Manor House South; ▪ Conversion of Manor House Annexe to single dwelling; conversion, alteration and extension of Manor House Barn to form single dwelling, change of use; 	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alteration and extension to Gaston House to form Country Club Hotel with apartments above including part demolition of classroom block; Demolition of single-storey outbuildings and replacement with single-storey pitched roof bedroom wing; and Conversion alteration and extension to Jephson House to form three dwellings and village shop following demolition of flat roofed extensions. 								
B5	20107/063	Demolition of former school classrooms, student accommodation, various extensions, outbuildings and associated structures	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No
B6	30021/056	<p>Hybrid Application: Development following demolition of existing buildings to include:</p> <p>1) Outline application (all matters reserved, except access) for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residential development (with a net developable area of 7.12 hectares) at land east of Selborne Road; Residential development (with a net developable area of 10.99 hectares) and provision of a country park on land at the former 	Approved	Yes	1	1.06 km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Due to the distance between the intervening environment, potential to generate cumulative effects not expected	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		<p>Lord Mayor Treloar Hospital, Chawton Park Road; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Associated vehicular, pedestrian and cycle access to the highway network, general amenity areas (including informal and formal open spaces), ecological areas; equipped play areas; landscaping; vehicle and cycle parking; bin stores; electricity sub-stations; lighting; drainage and associated infrastructure works, including sustainable drainage systems; and associated engineering and service operations. <p>2) Full application for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Highways works; and ▪ Works associated with the construction of a replacement railway bridge across Whitedown Lane following removal/demolition of existing (Butts Bridge) 								
B7	55465/001	Installation of a solar farm and associated infrastructure, including photovoltaic panels, mounting frames, inverters, transformers, substations, communications building, fence and pole mounted security cameras, for the life of the solar farm.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
Eastleigh Borough Council										
B8	O/16/78389	Outline application for residential development of up to 50 dwellings with green infrastructure, hard and soft landscape and associated infrastructure, including internal access roads, footpaths/cycle routes, play space and relocation of gas governor and creation of new access on to Maddoxford Lane.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the proposed scheme. Scoped out.	No
B9	F/15/76235	Construction of a 5km trunk sewer and associated works including new pumping station and pipe bridge.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
B10	O/12/71514	Outline application with all matters reserved (except for access) for the demolition of golf driving range shelter and groundsman's equipment store and the development of 1,400 homes with access from Winchester Road and Maddoxford Lane. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes upgrades to the Winchester Road/Woodhouse Lane Junction and approaches and Maypole Roundabout; Construction of Sunday's Hill Bypass and approaches, extension to existing hotel (including new conference and leisure facilities, 44 new bedrooms and car parking); Creation of new local centre (incorporating energy centre, pub, assisted living accommodation, 	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		<p>retail and employment floorspace, including change of use of Braxells Farm House to employment);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primary school, multi-purpose community building, sports and open space facilities including play areas, allotments and Multi-Use Games Area; and Changing facilities, together with construction of roads, footpaths (including diversion of Footpath No. 2) and cycle ways, and pumping stations. 								
B11	O/15/75953	<p>Outline application for up to 680 residential units, mixed use comprising of retail and/or community/healthcare use, land for two-form entry primary school, formal and informal open space and sports pitches.</p> <p>New access off Winchester Road, associated on-site roads, infrastructure and footpaths/cycleways. Detailed matters for determination access (all other matters reserved – scale, appearance, landscaping and layout).</p>	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
B12	O/16/79600	<p>Outline application for demolition of existing residential dwelling and associated farm buildings, development of up to 50 dwellings with access from Maddoxford Lane, site infrastructure, open space and associated landscaping.</p>	Approved	Yes	N/A	50m	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development	Potential to generate cumulative effects due to the distance of the scheme from the project.	Yes



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
									Scoped into shortlist.	
B13	O/18/83634	Hybrid planning application for the proposed development of a residential and education led site with access off Woodhouse Lane. Outline: Up to 605 residential dwellings, a local centre, pedestrian and cycle links, a pedestrian Site of Interest for Nature Conservation crossing, drainage, public open space, landscaping, other supporting infrastructure and mitigation measures (including noise attenuation) associated with the development.	Validated	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
B14	O/18/83698	Erection of up to 375 dwellings, public open space, allotments, drainage, landscaping, other supporting infrastructure and mitigation measures associated with the development. Two new accesses onto Winchester Street, associated on-site roads, footpaths/cycleways and setting of a Public Right of Way (route number 3).	Validated	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
Hampshire County Council										
B15	15/00188/HC C	Construction of a single-storey standalone extension to allow the school to expand to a two-form entry primary school. A number of internal alterations to the existing school will be carried out to facilitate the expansion. Additional parking spaces will also be provided to accommodate the increased staff numbers.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out as it is already constructed.	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
B16	CS/17/81226	Construction of a bypass for Botley, providing a connection from Station Hill (A334/A3051 junction) to Woodhouse Lane together with associated improvements/enabling works to Woodhouse Lane	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 1 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
B17	CS/18/82664	Development of the site for a new two-form entry primary school, consisting of a two-storey building with single-storey kitchen/plantroom attached, inclusion of a grass sports pitch and hard courts as well as staff car parking	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
Hart District Council										
B18	08/02616/MA JOR	Erection of a 60-bed nursing home.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No
B19	10/02653/MA JOR	Works to highway comprising the construction of a new roundabout at the junction of Beacon Hill Road/Leipzig Road, together with widening works to Leipzig Road and the installation of traffic calming measures on Naishes Lane and Leipzig Road.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No
B20	11/00001/MA JOR	Hybrid planning application with full details for phase 1 and details of means of access only for the remaining phases for the demolition and redevelopment of the site to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provide 872 residential units (316 in phase 1); 	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1.5ha site for a new primary school as part of phase 1; ▪ Local centre with 788.2m² for floorspace for A1, A2, A3, A5 or D1 uses with a 112.8m² energy centre all part of phase 1; ▪ Retention of the existing 0.6ha employment use on Naishes Lane (known as the (Auxiliary Stores Unit site) as part of phase 1; ▪ 40 allotments with storage facility (within phase 1); ▪ Private eight space car park off Sandy Lane (within phase 1); ▪ 40-space public car park to the south of the site off of Naishes Lane (within phase 1); ▪ Access improvements works (to include new footways and gates) to open space in the area to the south and east of Naishes Lane and the woodland areas adjoining the site at Naishes Lane and Sandy Lane (within phase 1); ▪ 0.2ha site for a new community building as part of phase 2; ▪ Provision of 7.6ha of formal open space consisting of a multi-use games area, a new running track with football pitch (within phase 2); 								



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to 7,500m² of new employment floorspace for business purposes on 2ha land as part of phase 3; Associated children's play space facilities (across all phases); Improvement works to the remaining areas of formal and informal open space within the development (across all phases). All with associated highway works, parking and landscaping. 								
B21	13/00531/MAJOR	<p>Hybrid planning application comprising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application for full planning permission for the development of two data centres and a gatehouse with associated highway works, vehicle access, infrastructure, plant, car and cycle parking and landscaping; and Application for full planning permission to make minor external alterations to Building A50 and associated works to the access, car parking and landscaping; and 3) application for outline planning permission (with all matters reserved) for business, industrial, storage and distribution and data centre use. 	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No
B22	13/00795/MAJOR	Redevelopment (to include any associated demolition and site clearance) of site to deliver 100 new residential units with	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		associated parking, access and landscaping (to replace Phase 3 of hybrid planning permission 11/00001/MAJOR, i.e. 7,500 square metres of office development).							it is already constructed.	
B23	13/01214/MAJOR	Application for approval of the reserved matters within phase 2 (7.6ha of formal open space and 28 allotments, informal open spaces, layout of community building).	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No
B24	14/01489/MAJOR	Full planning permission for two new data centres with associated substation, highway works, vehicle access, infrastructure, plant, car and cycle parking and landscaping and the partial demolition of, and external alterations to Building A50.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the proposed scheme	No
B25	15/00828/FUL	Redevelopment of the site to provide 16 dwellings with associated car and cycle parking, landscaping and access.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No
B26	16/00564/OUT	Outline application for commercial B1, B2, B8 development comprising 10 industrial units.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
B27	17/00064/FUL	Land at Bramshot Lane – Change of use of land to public open space with associated works including access and parking, landscaping, walkways and bunding and boundary works to facilitate a Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANG).	Approved	Yes	1	500m - 1km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Scoped out due to nature of the development and the intervening distance	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
									between the scheme and SLP.	
B28	17/00471/OUT	<p>The proposed development would comprise the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to 1,500 residential dwellings (189 for the detailed component and up to 1,311 for the outline component); Up to 1,460 m2 GEA commercial space (0 m2 Detailed, up to 1,460 m2 Outline); Up to 1,194 m2 GEA community space (0 m2 Detailed, up to 1,194 m2 Outline); A two-form entry (FE) primary school of up to 2,620 m2 GEA; Integrated open space and greenways to link the development with surrounding woodland; and Highways improvements. 	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
B29	17/01506/FUL	Full planning application including change of use to a SANG on 27.9ha of land at Kennels Lane including: access; car parking; fencing; pathways; landscaping; earthworks; and all other ancillary and enabling works.	Approved	Yes	1	500m - 1km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Scoped out due to nature of the development and the intervening distance between the scheme and SLP.	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
B30	18/00694/OUT	Outline application for redevelopment of the site to provide a mixed-use retail and industrial park, comprising up to 4,246m ² of business floorspace (Class B1/B2/B8 and/or Trade Counter (Sui Generis)), up to 3,782m ² of retail floorspace (Class A1) and up to 186m ² of Class A1, A3 and/or A5 floorspace, including car parking and hard and soft landscaping.	Awaiting Decision	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B31	18/02415/EIA	Buildings A50 and A57, land to the south of Ively Road (Cody Park).	Screening Opinion Received	Yes	3	0 - 500m	Not known	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Insufficient information.	No
B32	18/02554/FUL	Demolition of the existing stable building, arena, floodlights and hardstanding and erection of 30 residential dwellings with associated access, landscaping and car parking arrangements (10 x two-bed, 12 x three-bed and 4 x four-bed houses and 4 x two-bed flats / maisonettes)	Awaiting Decision	Yes	1	500m - 1km	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Scoped out due to scale and nature of the development.	No
Hampshire County Council / Hart District Council										
B33	12/00777/HC CRG3	Construction of a single-storey three-form entry infant school with associated parking and external works	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No
Runnymede Borough Council										
B34	RU.12/1277	Demolition of existing buildings and structures and development of 4 x two-storey dwellings each with attached / integrated	Approved	Yes	1		Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Scoped out due to scale and nature of the development.	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		garage and basement together with associated landscaping and other works								
B35	RU.13/0857	Hybrid planning application for the change of use from agriculture to publicly accessible open space (Sui Generis use), together with associated development, car park, footpaths and landscaping, including a detailed first phase of development comprising road access to an onsite car park with 12 spaces, an 800m hoggin path, dog proof fencing, gates, benches, signs and landscape planting, including trees and scrub and a wildflower grassland within a 5.1ha area	Approved	Yes	1	875m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B36	RU.15/0855	Outline application for the erection of up to 130 residential dwellings (including affordable housing), vehicular access from Pretoria Road, open space, landscaping including sustainable drainage systems and all necessary ground works.	Approved	Yes	1	Intersecting with SLP	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B37	RU.16/1053	Redevelopment of land to rear of existing office buildings to provide 174 residential units and associated access, car parking and landscape works (known as Phase 2)	Approved	Yes	1	1km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B38	RU.16/1748	Proposed works comprising the following: 1) Multi-faith prayer room with offices above 2) Offices and ancillary accommodation for the Intensive Therapy Unit and Coronary Care Unit 3) Enclosure of a courtyard with the Outpatients Block to create extensions to the Endoscopy and Neurophysiology Departments.	Approved	Yes	1	<500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
B39	RU.16/1765	Rear and roof extension to existing office building to provide 22 new residential units, with associated landscaping, car parking and other infrastructure.	Approved	Yes	1	625m	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Scoped out due to scale and nature of the development.	No
B40	RU.17/0766	Application for a temporary change of use of two wings of the ground floor for two years to a school (Class D1), use of the Abbey Rangers Car Park for pupil drop-off and collection, the provision of a pedestrian access route from the Abbey Rangers Car Park to a school access gate and use of The Hub Car Park for staff parking to facilitate the proposed change of use.	Approved	Yes	1	Intersecting with SLP	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Scoped out due to scale and nature of the development.	No
B41	RU.17/1136	Proposed demolition of existing Runnymede Centre (former The Meads School); construction of new secondary school and sports hall; improved vehicle access, pedestrian access, parking and on-site drop-off/pick-up areas; formal and informal playing area	Approved	Yes	1	50m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
B42	RU.17/2014	Demolition of existing sales building and removal of existing canopy link. Construct new single-storey sales building, gated timber fenced compound area with bins and plant units, relocation of LPG (Liquefied petroleum gas) dispenser and construct new boundary brick wall.	Approved	Yes	1	400m	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the proposed scheme	No
B43	RU.18/0206	EIA Screening Opinion Request for proposed development for approximately 250 dwellings incorporating open space.	Screening Opinion Received	Yes	3	0-500m	Not known	Schedule 2 EIA development.	Insufficient information.	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
B44	RU.18/1280	Construction of 158 residential dwellings, new access road to the south of Hanworth Lane, open space, landscaping and sustainable drainage systems).	Approved	Yes	1	<100m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
B45	RU.17/1815	Hybrid application comprising: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Redevelopment of west site (including demolition of all existing buildings) to provide 212 x one-, two-, three-, four- and five-bedroom houses and flats and 116 x one- and two-bedroom retirement apartments in two-, three- and four-storey buildings served by new access onto Stoneleigh Road (outline planning application, all matters reserved) Construction of three-storey acute care wing connected to existing hospital; Demolition of existing buildings and erection of 72 x one-, two- and four-bedroom key worker dwellings in 6 x three-storey buildings served by new access onto Holloway Hill; Demolition of existing buildings and erection of 72 x one-, two- and four-bedroom key worker dwellings in 8 x three-storey buildings Erection of single-storey building and infilling at basement level to 	Approved	Yes	1	350m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		<p>provide new staff restaurant and 1,500m² of retail floorspace;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Redevelopment of car park to provide three-storey/six-deck multi-storey car park together with alterations to internal road layout; and Erection of detached two-storey workshop building together with alterations to car park 								
B46	RU.18/0796	Development of 155 dwellings, new access road to the south of Hanworth Lane, open space, landscaping and sustainable drainage systems (Site A) and for the formation of sports pitches, associated earthworks and pavilion with associated access, car parking and landscaping (Site B).	Screening Opinion Received	Yes	3	Intersecting with SLP	Not known	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Insufficient information.	No
B47	RU.17/0793	Development for up to 1,400 dwellings, a primary school, 3,210m ² of commercial space (restaurants, retail, public house), 930m ² of community space, publicly accessible open space, landscaping, ecological habitats, and access. SANG will be provided on site, which will link to Trumps Farm.	Scoping Opinion received	Yes	2	0.4km	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B48	RU.18/0443	Outline planning application for the erection of up to 52 dwellings (including affordable housing), vehicular access from Pretoria Road, emergency access from Hanworth Lane, open space, landscaping including Sustainable Drainage System and all necessary ground works. All matters	Application Registered	Yes	1	0.2km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		reserved except for means of access, layout and scale.'							proposed scheme	
B49	RU.17/1749	Erection of up to 200 residential dwellings (class C3) with vehicular access onto Bittams Lane, associated landscaping and public open space	Application Registered	Yes	1	0.7km	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
Rushmoor Borough Council										
B50	13/00187/OUT	OUTLINE: Hybrid planning application comprising 1) Application for full planning permission for the development of two data centres and a gatehouse with associated highway works, vehicle access, infrastructure, plant, car and cycle parking and landscaping 2) Application for full planning permission to make minor external alterations to Building A50 and associated works to the access, car parking and landscaping and 3) Application for outline planning permission (with all matters reserved) for business, industrial, storage and distribution and data centre use	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B51	13/00938/FULPP	Demolition of Buildings 4.7 – 4.10 and erection of 6 two-storey Class B1/B2/B8 units with associated access, parking and landscaping works and alterations to the car and cycle parking arrangements for Buildings 4.5 and 4.6.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No
B52	14/00016/FULPP	Demolition of Pyramid House, Jolen House, Oaklands House and PC World and erection of two retail/retail warehouse buildings with mezzanine floors to be subdivided into up to	Approved	Yes	1	500m - 1km	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		five units, with new parking area, access from Solartron Road, servicing access from Westmead and site levelling and associated works							it is already constructed.	
B53	14/00118/FU LPP	Construction of an artificial sports pitch with floodlighting and associated fencing	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No
B54	14/00572/FU L	Redevelopment of site to provide 4 buildings comprising 7 units for B1(c), B2 and B8 uses	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B55	15/00770/FU LPP	Change of use and extension to existing office building to form 115 flats and erection of six-storey building to form 30 flats (145 flats in total), with 172 car parking spaces (including 8 disabled spaces), spaces for 145 cycles and bin storage	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the proposed scheme	No
B56	16/00837/FU LPP	Comprehensive redevelopment of the site comprising demolition of existing buildings and site clearance and erection of 159 residential units (Use Class C3) (comprising 9 x one-bedroom flats, 27 x two-bedroom flats, 26 x two-bedroom houses, 2 x three-bedroom flats, 79 x three-bedroom houses and 16 x four-bedroom houses), associated parking and servicing, hard and soft landscaping, public amenity space and play areas, formation of vehicular accesses onto	Awaiting Decision	Yes	1	500m - 1km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		Southwood Road and Apollo Rise; and other associated works.								
B57	17/00515/FU LPP	Change of use of land to provide a SANG including: access; car parking; fencing; pathways; landscaping; earthworks; and all other ancillary and enabling works.	Approved	Yes	1	500m - 1km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B58	17/00866/FU LPP	Erection of a retail unit (Class A1) for sale of bulky goods along with associated improvements to retail park access arrangements; revised car parking and servicing arrangements; and associated works	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B59	18/00025/FU LPP	Partial demolition of Kingsmead Shopping Centre (existing Debenhams store), erection of an extension (Block 3) comprising retail use on the ground floor (3,710m ²), leisure use on the first floor (2,414m ²), 68 apartments over eight floors, private amenity space, 58 car parking spaces, 118 bicycle parking spaces, a bridge link and alterations to the existing car park on Block 2, a new entrance to The Meads Shopping Centre and associated works	Approved	Yes	1	500m - 1km	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B60	18/00140/FU LPP	Demolition of existing structures and erection of 205 dwellings comprising 93 one-bedroom flats; 80 two-bedroom flats and 32 three-bedroom townhouses with associated access, parking and landscape arrangements.	Awaiting Decision	Yes	1	500m - 1km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
B61	18/00367/OU TPP	Outline application for the erection of up to 174 units across eight storeys (plus a semi-underground car park) with associated car parking, cycle parking, open space, landscaping, lighting, drainage and associated infrastructure, engineering and service operations (all matters reserved).	Awaiting Decision	Yes	1	500m - 1km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B62	18/00623/FU LPP	Demolition of five detached dwellings and erection of 42 apartments (26 one-bedroom and 16 two-bedroom) for the elderly (60 years of age and/or partner over 55 years of age), guest apartment, communal facilities, access, car parking and landscaping.	Awaiting Decision	Yes	1	500m - 1km	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the proposed scheme	No
B63	18/00657/FU LPP	Construction of a new hangar for maintenance, repair and overhaul of aircraft and ancillary offices with associated works including aircraft apron, connection to taxiway, vehicle parking, new access roads and an amended access connecting to Trenchard Way, security fencing, gatehouse, drainage, remediation works and lighting together with associated landscaping.	Approved	Yes	1	500m - 1km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
South Downs National Park – no proposed developments										
Winchester City Council										
B75	15/00387/SC REEN	Proposed site to be used as a builders' merchant	Screening Opinion received	Yes	3	500m - 1km	Not known	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Insufficient information. Scoped out.	No



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
B76	16/00053/SC REEN	Proposed development at the Vineyard and land to the east of Tangier Lane, Bishop's Waltham. In relation to the development of 120 homes.	Screening Opinion received	Yes	3	500m - 1km	Not known	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Insufficient information. Scoped out.	No
B77	16/01322/FUL	Erection of 66 dwellings with associated access via Albany Road, associated parking, landscaping and public open space (Phase 1).	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the proposed scheme. Scoped out.	No
B78	13/02469/FUL	Demolition of existing barn and erection of indoor sand school; stabling and horse welfare facilities.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the proposed scheme. Scoped out.	No
B79	15/00053/OUT	Outline Planning Application (all matters reserved except access): Erection of about 120 dwellings (including affordable housing), including provision of vehicular and pedestrian access, landscape and ecology management, parking, secure cycle storage and servicing.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the proposed scheme. Scoped out.	No

Appendix B.

Response to Corridor Consultation

Subject: Esso Southampton to London Pipeline Project

Esso Southampton to London Pipeline Project Hampshire County Council Countryside Service response April 2018

Thank you for providing us with this consultation opportunity. Please accept this response as being on behalf of Hampshire County Council's Countryside Service. In addition to our statutory responsibility as the Highway Authority for public rights of way, the Countryside Service manages countryside sites and country parks throughout Hampshire. We have the following comments on this proposal.

Comment

The existing route of the pipeline from Boorley Green to Surrey runs through approximately 100 public rights of way, as well as the Pilgrims Trail, the Wayfarers Way, St Swithuns Way and Hangers Way, which are long- distance promoted routes, and the South Downs Way, which is a national trail. The pipeline also goes through the Basingstoke Canal (SSSI), and Blackwater Valley (SINC), which are Hampshire Countryside Sites.

All of the proposed options would have a comparative impact upon the PROW network, and as such, we have no objection to the preferred route of Option G and J. We are concerned about Option F however, as it may run through Abbotstone Down, which is a Hampshire Countryside Site and a SINC/Scheduled Ancient Monument.

As the Highway Authority for public rights of way, Hampshire County Council has a duty to protect and assert the rights of the public to use the rights of way network. There must be no surface alterations to any rights of way, nor any works carried out which could affect their surface, without first seeking the permission of Hampshire Countryside Service. To carry out any such works without this permission would constitute an offence under Section 131 of the Highways Act 1980. The consent for this work would be provided under a Section 278 Agreement, which the applicant will need to enter into with Hampshire County Council.

We welcome the Code of Construction Practice referred to in the consultation brochure, which will 'describe methods to minimise impacts on recreation, for example footpath closures or diversions.' We also welcome the commitment to reinstating the surface of the route after installation. We would like to be consulted on the Code of Construction Practice, so we can help ensure best practice is achieved. For example, all surfaces of rights of way will need to be reinstated to the satisfaction of the Area Access Manager, while all Sites will need to be reinstated to the satisfaction of the site manager.

We note that the brochure also states that the installation of the pipeline could take one to two months in any given area, and may take longer in more complex locations. Where the construction process may affect a right of way, a Temporary Closure Order will need to be secured, and where possible, a suitable alternative route provided for the duration of the works. Temporary Closure Orders should be applied for at least 6 weeks prior to the commencement of works, while details of how to apply can be found at: <http://www3.hants.gov.uk/row/making-changes/temp-closures.htm>

The Countryside Service requests an early meeting at the second consultation stage to discuss this proposal in more detail.

We hope this is of assistance.



Appendix C

Response to Preferred Route Consultation

Memo: Hampshire County Council Preferred Route Consultation Response

Project name: Southampton to London Pipeline Project

Task name: HCC Response: Preferred Route

PINS Reference EN070005

Date: 17/10/2018

HCC Dept: EIA

EIA team project manager: Wendy Phillips

1.0 Project Details

This memo provides a response on the Preferred Route of the Southampton to London Pipeline. This response includes:

- Waste and minerals;
- Landscape and Visual Impact; and
- Highways.

2.0 Comments

HCC Review Comments

Minerals and Waste Our main concern is the potential sterilisation of mineral resources along the proposed pipeline. Safeguarded material is identified in the vicinity of the pipeline proposals in the northern area of Hampshire. In particular as the pipeline route passes Alton, Fleet area and Farnborough Area there are significant bands of mineral resources. There are small bands of reserves between the initial pipeline start at Boorley Green and the Bishops Waltham area which would also need to be taken into account.

In accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework, Hampshire County Council have defined a Minerals Safeguarding Area for Hampshire and adopted appropriate policies in order to prevent the needless sterilisation of specific mineral resources. These policies are contained within the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan and associated Safeguarding SPD. The purpose of this policy is to protect potentially economically viable mineral resource deposits from needless and unnecessary sterilisation. The policy seeks to encourage the recovery, where possible, of potential viable mineral resources. The Minerals Safeguarding Area is based on BGS data and can be found online along with the safeguarding policies:

<http://www3.hants.gov.uk/mineralsandwaste/planning-policy-home/hmwp-spds.htm>

The Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan does contain a shortfall in supply of minerals which is expected to be met by windfall developments. As Mineral and Waste Planning Authority, we would welcome any material recovered as part of excavation for the pipeline to enter into the supply chain. There are some existing permitted quarries nearby to the east of Alton at Kingsley and Frith End quarries as well as in the north at Bramshill Quarry, where there is potential for the material to be taken for processing. However, discussions would need to be had with the quarry operators to ensure that there was sufficient capacity to undertake this additional operation. The mechanism for recovering and recording the level of material recovered should be detailed in a

construction management plan, which should be developed as the development proposals become more refined.

However we are pleased that the route proposals do not appear to impact any existing mineral or waste sites currently operating in Hampshire. However, it is noted that as the route of the pipeline passes Alton, there are some historic landfill sites already identified that will need to be taken into account. Work should be undertaken to ensure that suitable construction management procedures are undertaken in this environment.

We would welcome further discussions on this Strategic Development site as work progresses to assist in the implementation of the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan to ensure compliance and to maximise opportunities for mineral safeguarding.

Highways: No comment

Landscape: It is noted that effort has been made to avoid sensitive areas and significant areas of vegetation. In general, we have not identified any major issues regarding the route.

Where the proposed route results in the loss of significant trees we would expect to see mitigation including tree planting to ensure there is no permanent visual impact.

Biodiversity: Overall, the scope of ecological survey work has been suitable and has resulted in a comprehensive and realistic desk-based assessment of the potential receptors. A suite of field-based surveys is underway and will be completed during 2018.

HCC Ecology were previously consulted on the scope of ecological assessments. No major concerns were raised.

The range of species and habitats scoped in is appropriate. Impacts are likely to be limited in extent and duration and so, whilst disruption may be high at particular locations, the long-term impacts are likely to be limited. There may be cases where impacts are more significant for certain species (e.g. the severance/fragmentation of habitat for hazel dormice).

Given the relatively limited extent and short duration of the proposed works, it should be perfectly possible to employ well-recognised mitigation measures to ensure impacts are avoid/minimised. I consider that the range of proposed mitigation/compensation measures recommended is appropriate.

In summary, I do not have any overriding concerns with the biodiversity chapter of the scoping report.

Cumulative effects: The methodologies proposed for the combined and cumulative effects assessments appear reasonable and in line with best practice. We note that no discussion has been provided regarding the limitations of the cumulative effects assessment for example with respect to whether adequate information / evidence would be available for many of the short listed developments to allow for a meaningful cumulative assessment to be undertaken (i.e. adequate evidence is taken to include a Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Scoping Report / or similar as a minimum). It would be useful to provide further details in this regard within the ES.

General: We note that the topics air quality, noise, vibration and traffic and transport have not been included as stand alone topic chapters. I would have expected consideration of these specific issues in order to initially assess whether there are likely to be any significant effects on the baseline and provision of justification. This is particularly relevant with respect to noise and vibration during the construction phase. The ES will need to ensure these issues are adequately considered.